

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,551	01/30/2004	Russell Steel	2562.SMII.NP	5856	
26986	7590 12/15/2005		EXAM	INER .	
	MORRISS O'BRYANT COMPAGNI, P.C.			JOHNSON, JONATHAN J	
136 SOUTH N SUITE 700	MAIN STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAKE	CITY, UT 84101		1725		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/769,551	STEEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan Johnson	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address -			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commodation. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	ugust 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
·—					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or of	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Id drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/769,551

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-36, drawn to a system of friction stir welding.

Group II, claims 37 and 40-46, drawn to the method of removing root defects.

The special technical feature of Group I is the tool having superabrasive material, as recited in Claim 1. The forgoing special technical feature is shown in the prior art of Nelson (WO 01/85385, see abstract and figures). Therefore, there is no contribution made over the prior art, hence there is no unity of invention and lack of unity is held by the Examiner.

IF APPLICANT ELECTS GROUP I, THEN APPLICANT MUST ADDITIONALLY ELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- Ia. Claims 2-9 are drawn to the selection of the nonplanar surface.
- Ib. Claims 10-15 are drawn to the consumable material
- Ic. Claim 16 is drawn to the stopping block.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,551

Art Unit: 1725

Id. Claims 18-30 are drawn to the clamping system.

Ie. Claims 32-36 are drawn to the anvil.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1, 17, 27, 31, 38, and 39.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The special technical feature of Group I is the tool having superabrasive material, as recited in Claim 1. The forgoing special technical feature is shown in the prior art of Nelson (WO 01/85385, see abstract and figures). Therefore, there is no contribution made over the prior art, hence there is no unity of invention and lack of unity is held by the Examiner.

IF APPLICANT ELECTS GROUP II, THEN APPLICANT MUST ADDITIONALLY ELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

IIa. Claims 2-9 drawn to the selection of the nonplanar surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,551

Art Unit: 1725

IIa. Claims 43-45 are drawn to extending partially outside the OD of the pipes.

IIb. Claim 46 is drawn to the ring does not extend ouside the OD or ID of the pipes.

The following claim(s) are generic: 37 and 40-42.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The special technical feature of Group I is the tool having superabrasive material, as recited in Claim 1. The forgoing special technical feature is shown in the prior art of Nelson (WO 01/85385, see abstract and figures). Therefore, there is no contribution made over the prior art, hence there is no unity of invention and lack of unity is held by the Examiner.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,551 Page 5

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725